I. COURSE INFORMATION

Course Name: Valuation Using Financial Statements  
Course Code: ACCT3114  
Prerequisite: Introduction to Financial Accounting and Intermediate Accounting I  

Instructor: Dr. Jing Li  
Office: KK1216  
Phone: 39171004  
Email: acjli@hku.hk  
Consultation Time: Tuesday 12:30-1:30pm and by appointment  

Tutor: TBD  


Recommended Supplementary Textbook: *Accounting for Value* (Columbia University Press, 2011). This book is supplementary reading for the course that can be read as the course proceeds.

II. COURSE DESCRIPTION

With a stock market bubble and a financial crisis in our recent history, there have been increasing calls to “return to fundamentals.” This is a course on fundamental analysis. The primary emphasis is on equity (share) valuation, with a focus on developing and applying methods for valuing firms using financial statement analysis.

The course has a very practical focus. The methods of fundamental analysis will be examined in detail and applied in cases and projects involving listed companies. Topics include models of shareholder value, a comparison of accrual accounting and discounted cash flow approaches to valuation, the determination of price/earnings (P/E) and market-to-book (P/B) ratios, the reformulation of financial statements, the analysis of profitability, growth and valuation generation in a firm, and diagnosing accounting quality.

The course does not “assume market efficiency.” Rather, it develops the techniques for challenging traded prices in order to assess whether investments are appropriately priced. Most importantly, we learn how to handle accounting and financial reports in valuation. We see that valuation is really a matter of appropriate accounting for value. The course
combines accounting principles and investment principles to answer the question: How do I account for value so that I can challenge stock prices with some confidence?

The course will be taught from the perspective of designing techniques to be used in an (independent) equity research department or firm. Accordingly, the material is pertinent to the security analyst – particularly the equity analyst – who must issue a buy, hold or sell recommendations on stocks. However, much of the material covered in the course is also relevant to the corporate financial analyst for evaluating acquisitions, restructurings and other investments, and for calculating the value generated by strategic scenarios.

III. COURSE OBJECTIVES

This course is designed to improve your ability to analyze financial reports and value companies. After completing this course, you will gain two valuable tools in your skill set: (1) financial statement analysis; and (2) equity valuation principles. The focus of financial statement analysis is a rigorous reformulation of published GAAP statements. The reformulation is needed to highlight the shareholder-value generation and distribution process of the firms. The focus of the equity valuation is on theory-consistent valuation benchmarks. These benchmarks provide important guidance to further, more detailed firm valuation methodologies. Time permitting, we may cover special topics like accounting quality analysis, etc.

IV. ASSESSMENT TASKS/ACTIVITIES (ATs)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>Weight</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lecture Participation</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignment and CPRs</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final Exam</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group project and presentation</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Lecture Participation (5%)**

- Students are expected to attend and participate actively in lectures and tutorials. Each student will be evaluated on the quantity and quality of their participation by the instructor and tutor.
- You are expected to participate in and contribute to our class discussions on concepts, techniques, and real-life companies used as class examples. Also a good citizenship in your teamwork is a part of your class participation.

**Assignment and Case Progress Reports (10%)**

- For certain weeks, a short Individual Homework Assignment (IHA) is given and collected. The homework helps individual understand lecture materials better and practice the methods and technologies learned in class.
- For certain weeks, a team Case Progress Report (CPR) is due. These CPRs help you make progress toward completing your group project, typically following an in-class example. A CPR is typically excel-worksheet based, and there is no written report required. The group CPR grade is also effort based.

**Final exam (45%)**
The final exam will be held during the term as part of the continuous assessment of the course. The exam is designed to reinforce your basic understanding of the principles and concepts of financial statement analysis and valuation. All students must take the exam on the same date. No make-up exam is allowed with exceptions for legitimate reasons such as documented medical issues.

*Group Project (Report and Presentations) (40%)* A major part of the course evaluation is a group project based on real-life companies. You are required to deliver a project that involves financial analysis and valuation using tools developed in the course. The project is done in a group up to five students. Each group chooses one company you wish to cover to analyze. Some guidelines on choosing companies will be emailed later.

The group project contains two parts (*two presentations and two short reports are required*). Part I focuses on performing your own financial statement analysis of the company to understand the value drivers and growth of the company, including the reformulations of financial statements. Part II of the project requires your group to do a valuation analysis and stock recommendation for your company, using alternative valuation technologies learned in class.

The case reports are graded on rigor, creativity, demonstration of depth of knowledge, and clarity in communication. Group presentations are graded as part of the overall grading of the cases. Detail instructions about the group project will be distributed in class shortly.

### V. LEARNING OUTCOMES AND ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

#### 1. INTENDED LEARNING OUTCOMES (ILOs)

On completion of this course, students will be able to:

- **ILO1.** Construct the basic skills needed to interpret and reformulate financial statements for the purpose of valuation, and perform financial statement analysis based on reformulated statements;

- **ILO2.** Comprehend knowledge on basic valuation principles; apply the accounting-based equity valuation and other valuation technologies in valuing a company with publicly available information;

- **ILO3.** Prepare the pro-forma financial statements based on financial statement analysis, and value a company with full-forecasted financial information as inputs.

- **ILO4.** Understand the limitation and flexibility in the application of valuation models, use professional judgment in dealing with complicated issues in evaluating a real-company.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Programme ILOs</th>
<th>Course ILOs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Acquisition and internalization of knowledge of accounting, business and economics</td>
<td>ILOs 1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Application and integration of knowledge</td>
<td>ILOs 1 &amp; 2 &amp; 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Inculcating professionalism and leadership</td>
<td>ILOs 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. TEACHING AND LEARNING ACTIVITIES (TLAs)

**TLA1. Situation: Interactive lectures**
- Lectures: basic knowledge of financial accounting is discussed using PowerPoint slides.
- In-class mini-cases: basic concepts and techniques are illustrated using mini-cases and real company examples. In order to follow the lectures closely, students are encouraged to work along with the instructor on these exercises.
- In-class discussions: students are encouraged to raise questions, participate in discussions and share ideas with their peers. These discussions help students formulate their own opinions on controversial issues.

Major focus: ILOs 1, 2, & 3.

**TLA2. Situation: Group project, presentation and outside-classroom activities**
- Group discussions and presentation: Students are required to apply the knowledge learned from this course to perform financial statement analysis of two real public companies. Group members are to meet, work on the questions together and contribute jointly to ensure that the project is completed for timely submission. Group members are to present the project in class as well.
- Instructor and tutor consultations: Consultation hours will be provided by the instructor and tutor to address students’ questions related to the course.

Major focus: ILOs 1 & 2 & 3.

4. GRADING CRITERIA

**AT1. Lecture Participation, and Assignments (15%)**
Major focus: ILOs 1, 2, 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>A+</th>
<th>A-</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B-</th>
<th>C+</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>D+</th>
<th>D-</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Extremely well prepared for class discussion, active in sharing views and attended at least 90% of classes. Submitted all assignments with more than 90% accuracy.

Partially prepared for class discussion, quite active in sharing views and attended at least 80% of classes. Submitted at least 80% of assignments with more than 80% accuracy.

Not well prepared for class discussion, limited active in sharing views and attended at least 70% of classes. Submitted at least 70% of assignments with more than 70% accuracy.

Poorly prepared for class discussion and no sharing of views and experience and attended less than 60% of classes. Submitted less than 60% of assignments with less than 60% accuracy.

AT2. Mid-Term Test (35%)
Mid-term test mainly includes three types of questions: multiple choice questions, problem solving and essay questions.
Major focus: ILOs 1, 2, 3.

Grading criteria for calculation problems and multiple choice questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A+</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>A-</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>B-</th>
<th>C+</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>D+</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provided accurate solutions to all problems, gave detailed and insightful responses to all essay questions and scored correctly on more than 90% of the multiple choice questions</td>
<td>Provided accurate solutions to most problems, gave detailed responses to most essay questions and scored correctly on more than 80% of the multiple choice questions</td>
<td>Provided accurate solutions to some problems, gave limited responses to some essay questions and scored correctly on more than 70% of the multiple choice questions</td>
<td>Provided accurate solutions to a few problems, gave unclear responses to most essay questions and scored correctly on more than 60% of the multiple choice questions</td>
<td>Skipped some problems or provided inaccurate solutions to most problems, gave poor responses to most essay questions and scored correctly on less than 60% of the multiple choice questions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Grading criteria for essay questions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A+</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>A-</th>
<th>B+</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>B-</th>
<th>C+</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>C-</th>
<th>D+</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Skipped some problems or provided inaccurate solutions to most problems, gave poor responses to most essay questions and scored correctly on less than 60% of the multiple choice questions.
Idea development is insightful and sophisticated; Supporting evidence is convincing, accurate and detailed. Well written with clear focus.

Idea development is clear and thoughtful; Supporting evidence is sufficient and accurate. Well written.

Idea development is simplistic and lacking in relevance; Supporting evidence is insufficient but accurate. Somewhat well written.

Idea development is superficial and ineffective; Supporting evidence is insufficient and inaccurate. Writing is unclear.

Idea development is absent; Supporting evidence is vague or missing. Poorly written.

---

AT3. Group Project and Presentation (50%)
Major focus: ILOs 1 & 3.
Grading Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Depth and breadth of Coverage, critical elements, structure, language and conventions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A+, A, A-</td>
<td>All aspects were addressed and researched in great depth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Demonstrated a clear understanding of and the ability to apply the theory, concepts and issues relating to the topic.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clearly identified the most critical aspects of the task and adopted a critical perspective.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Developed excellent argument and offered a logically consistent and well-articulated analysis and insight into the subject.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Drew widely from the academic literature and elsewhere whilst maintaining relevance.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>All aspects conformed to a high academic / professional standard.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| B+, B, B- | Most aspects were addressed and researched in depth.  
Demonstrated a good understanding and some application of the theory and issues relating to the topic.  
Identified critical aspects of the task and adopted a critical perspective.  
Showed some evidence of analysis, supported by logical argument and insight into the subject.  
Drew on relevant academic and other material.  
Most aspects conformed to a high academic / professional standard. |
| C+, C, C- | Most aspects were addressed and researched adequately.  
Demonstrated a good understanding of the theory, concepts and issues relating to the topic but limited application relating to the topic.  
Some presented argument showed some insight but not always consistent and logical.  
Drew upon an adequate range of academic and other material.  
Most aspects conformed to an acceptable academic / professional standard. |
| D+, D | Basic aspects were addressed and researched adequately.  
Demonstrated mainly description, showing basic understanding of the topic but no application. |
| F, Fail | Basic aspects were superficial, inadequate or absent.  
Demonstrated limited understanding of the topic and drew conclusions unrelated to the topic.  
The written work was not of an academic / professional standard. |
Study Load

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Course Teaching and Learning Activities</th>
<th>Expected Contact Hour</th>
<th>Study Load (% of study)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T&amp;L1. Interactive Lectures</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&amp;L2. Group Project and Presentation</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T&amp;L3. Assignments and Self-study</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VIII. ACADEMIC CONDUCT

- Plagiarism and copying of copyright materials are serious offences and may lead to disciplinary actions. You should read the chapters on “Plagiarism” and “Copyright” in the Undergraduate/Postgraduate Handbook for details. You are strongly advised to read the booklet entitled “What is Plagiarism?” which was distributed to you upon your admission into the University, a copy of which can be found at www.hku.hk/plagiarism. A booklet entitled “Plagiarism and How to Avoid it” is also available from the Main Library.
- You are required to attend all the classes on time. In case you cannot attend a class you should inform the instructor beforehand.

~ End ~